Clustering Balkan Countries Based on Competitiveness Factors: A Strategic Perspective

Kazim Develioglu1 ,Kemal KantarcI2

1Akdeniz University, Alanya Faculty of Business, Department of Human Resource Management
Alanya-Antalya / TURKEY

2Akdeniz University, Alanya Faculty of Business, Department of Tourism Management Alanya-Antalya / TURKEY

E-mails: kdevelioglu@akdeniz.edu.tr ,kantarci@akdeniz.edu.tr

Abstract

Prior to directing their investments, strategy makers at national and firm level need to know competitive advantages and disadvantages in a country or region. By bearing this need in mind, this study aims to examine competitive factors in Balkan countries to develop a road map for investors. To do this, we used World Economic Forum's "Global Competitivenes Index" to analyse the case of Balkan countries as a region to cluster and compare them based on Global competitiveness factors. Analysis results pointed out that Balkan countries were clustered in two groups and scored lower or medium level on almost all competitive factors as the region. Based on these findings, authors suggested various strategic recommendations at micro and macro level.

Keywords: Cluster, Competitiveness, Strategic Management, Balkan Countries

1.Literature review

In an era of great competition among nations and firms, it is vital for firms' strategy makers to develop strategies to adapt to environmental changes and speed their processes. Vietor (2006) indicates that, in national level, as a result of globalizaton, countries compete each other in terms of markets, technology, skills, and investment to grow and raise their standards of living. Although, macroeconomic competitiveness creates the potential for high productivity, it is not sufficient. Productivity ultimately depends on improving the micro economic capability of the economy and sophistication of local competition (Porter, 2009).

Economic Forum (2011) defines competitiveness as the set of institutions, policies, and factors that determine the level of productivity of a country. The level of productivity, in turn, sets the level of prosperity that can be earned by an economy. The productivity level also determines the rates of return obtained by investments in an economy, which in turn are the fundamental drivers of its growth rates. In other words, a more competitive economy is one that is likely to grow faster over time.

"Competitive strategy is the search for a favorable competitive position in an industry, the fundamental arena in which competition occurs. Competitive strategy aims to establish a profitable and sustainable position against the forces that determine industry competition" (Porter, 2004: 1).

To be competitive, nations are struggling to remain competitive by having regional specializations in terms of hihger value added – non manufacturing industries and Research & Development intensive manufacturing niches (OECD, 2007). Similarly, Porter (2009) indicates that competitiveness depends on the productivity with which a nation uses its human, capital, and natural resources. Economic coordination among neighboring countries can significantly enhance competitiveness. By the similar vein, as developing countries, economic collaboration among Balkan countries is expected to enhance sustainable competition. At this point, it has to be noted that competition policies of advanced countries might not be appropriate for the stage of development of most developing countries (Singh, 1999). Singh (1999) indicates that "It is important for developing countries to have a competition policy which is designed to take appropriate account of their level of development and the long term objective of sustained economic growth. This is in part due to the potential effects of the international merger movement and also because of privatization, deregulation and liberalization which have occurred in the domestic economies of most developing countries" (pp. 1).

As a developing region, the Balkan peninsula is becoming recovered and develop after postsocialist and instable period because of the war among some of states. "The Balkan Peninsula is an important area, having witnessed important historical and political experiences and incidents for ages" (Celebioğlu 2011: 112). Having a population of, nearly, 140 million citizens, the Balkan region provides a promising market for firms from international arena and especially Balkan countries. As it is indicated in WEF's (2011-2012) Global Competitiveness Report, "national competitiveness, we note that despite much work in the area of sustainability, there is not yet a well-established body of literature on the link between productivity (which is at the heart of competitiveness) and sustainability. However, at the World Economic Forum we believe that the relationship between competitiveness and sustainability is crucial (pp. 52). Developing economically sound strategies, especially for international firms and firms from the region, it is crucial to examine competitiveness indicators of Balkan countries. This will help firms to develop a sustainable competitive edge by investing and selling in the region. Taking this neccessity into account, this study aims to fill the gap for lack of comparative studies for Balkan countries. More specifially, we analyse Balkan countries' competitiveness factors by, first, clustering them and, second, compare the clusters to grasp which cluster perform in which competitive factor well.

In this study, we used the data of The World Economic Forum's (WEF) classification of "Global Competitiveness Index" factors to examine indicators that are expected to influence sustainable competition in the region. for the years between 2008-2011. WEF's classification consists of three subindexes and 12 factors that measure these subindexes, which are reported below:

- Basic requirements (Institutions, Infrastructure, Macroeconomic environment, and Health and primary education)
- Efficiency enhancers (Higher education and training, Goods market efficiency, Labor market efficiency, Financial market development, Technological readiness, and Market size)
- Innovation and sophistication factors

(Business sophistication and Innovation)

2. Methodology

As it is mentioned above, in this study, we used the data of The World Economic Forum's (WEF) "Global Competitiveness Index" for the years between 2008-2011. By using the secondary data, we aimed, first, to cluster the Balkan countries in terms of above mentioned "Global competitiveness index factor"s and second to compare these clusters to reveal which of them are more competitive in subindexes and factors.

3.Findings

In order to cluster the Balkan countries in terms of Global competitiveness factors, we employed a k-means cluster analysis and derived two clusters, which is reported in Table 1 below. One of these clusters (Cluster 1) includes countries: Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece, Romania, Serbia, and Turkey. The second cluster (Cluster 2) countries are Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Macedonia, Montenegro, and Slovenia. Scores in Table 1 betray that only in market size competitiveness factor, Cluster 1 countries have a competitive advantage compared with Cluster 2 countries.

Table 1: Cluster Analysis Results

	Cluster			
Global Competitiveness Factor	1	2	F	р
Institutions	3,63	4,35	1,784	0,214
Infrastructure	4,00	3,38	0,401	0,542
Macroeconomic environment	4,70	4,93	1,827	0,209
Health and primary education	5,45	5,90	0,033	0,860
Higher education and training	3,95	4,38	0,022	0,885

Goods market efficiency	4,33	4,35	0,396	0,545
Labor market efficiency	3,60	4,58	3,599	0,090
Financial market development	4,18	4,83	0,021	0,889
Technological readiness	3,78	4,05	0,105	0,754
Market size	5,20	2,05	15,499	0,003
Business sophistication	4,20	3,80	0,018	0,897
Innovation	3,13	3,30	0,120	0,737

Table 2: t-test Results for Cluster Membership and Global Competitiveness Subindexes

			Std.		
Variable	Cluster	Mean	Deviation	t	р
Basic requirements	1	4,38	0,246		
	2	4,47	0,449	-0,858	0,396
Efficiency enhancers	1	4,06	0,161		
	2	3,87	0,326	2,547	0,015
Innovation and sophistication factors	1	3,39	0,214		
	2	3,34	0,473	0,479	0,634

In order to compare Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 countries, we used t-test analysis and obtained the results, which are reported in Table 2 and Table 3. In table 2, we compared two clusters in terms of Global Competitiveness subindexes. Results in Table 2 portray that Cluster 1 (Mean= 4,06) and Cluster (Mean= 3,87) countries both had medium-level but statistically significant difference (t= 2,547; P= 0,015) in efficiency enhancers subindex. For the other two subindexes, namely basic requirements (t= 0,858; P= 0,396) and innovation and sophistication factors (t= 0,479; P= 0,634), both of the clusters showed no statistically significant results. It has to be noted that in both, basic requirements and innovation and sophistication factors, Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 countries had medium level competitiveness scores.

Table 3: t-test Results for Cluster Membership and Global Competitiveness Factors

Variable	Cluster	Mean	Std. Deviation	t	р
Institutions	1	3,53	0,233		
	2	3,84	0,515	-2,657	0,011
Infrastructure	1	3,70	0,691		
	2	3,43	0,851	1,158	0,254
Macroeconomic	1	4,55	0,482		
environment	2	4,89	0,435	-2,406	0,021
Health and primary education	1	5,73	0,228		
	2	5,76	0,319	-0,332	0,741
Higher education and	1	4,21	0,254		
training	2	4,17	0,625	0,305	0,762
Goods market efficiency	1	4,00	0,239		
	2	4,12	0,376	-1,194	0,239
Labor market efficiency	1	4,04	0,325		
	2	4,34	0,208	-3,592	0,001
Financial market	1	4,04	0,224		
development	2	4,07	0,504	-0,255	0,800
Technological readiness	1	3,82	0,286		
	2	3,74	0,616	0,597	0,554
Market size	1	4,20	0,579		

	2	2,83	0,479	8,427	0,000
Business sophistication	1	3,75	0,313		
	2	3,72	0,427	0,268	0,790
Innovation	1	3,45	0,131		
	2	2,97	0,507	0,705	0,485

Examination of Table 3 revealed mixed results for Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 countries. In Table 3, the results betray that Cluster 2 countries scored better in three of twelve Global Competitiveness factors than Cluster 1 countries. Only for market size competitiveness factor, Cluster 1 countries had statistically significant difference scores (t= 8,427; P= 0,000).

4.Discussion

Analysis results at the findings section pointed out that competitiveness scores of Balkan countries, whether it belongs Cluster 1 or Cluster 2, are relatively low or medium and need to be developed. Specifically, Cluster 2 countries (Albania, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Macedonia, Montenegro, and Slovenia) should have a national strategic plan to improve their competitive position in infrastructure (quality of roads, railroads, ports, and airtransport infrastructure), higher education and training (secondary education enrollment, tertiary education enrollment, quality of the educational system, math &science education, management schools, internet access in schools, availability of research and services), goods market efficiency (intensity of local competition, extent of market dominance, effectiveness of anti-monopoly policy, extent and effect of taxation, total tax rate, number of procedures to start a business, agricultural policy cost, buyer sophistication), labor market efficiency (cooperation in labor-employer relations, flexibility of wage determination, hirin and firing practices, women in labor force), financial market development (availability of financial services, effordability of financial services, ease of access to loans, ventur capital availability), technological readiness (availability of latest technologies, firm-level technology absorption, FDI and technology transfer, internet related factors), business sophistication (local supplier quantity and quality, state of cluster development, nature of competitive advantage, control of international distribution, extent of amrketing, willingness to delegate authority), and innovation (capacity for innovation, quality of scientific research institutions, company spending on R&D, utility patents granted).

Similarly, Cluster 1 countries should emphasize on development of institutions, infrastructure, financial market, and technological environment and better conditions in macroeconomic environment, higher education and training, goods market efficiency, business sophistication, and innovation. It seems from analysis results that the major advantage for these cluster is their population and market size. This picture warns us that firms plan to invest in the Balkan region should be aware of disadvantageous competitive factors in both cluster countries. It seems that eventhough both clusters have disadvantages for investors they also offer certain advantages for

them. We believe that for strategy makers in national governments and firms, these findings provide useful insights to develop their strategic plans.

REFERENCES

Çelebioğlu, F. (2011). Investigation of Development Indicators in the Balkan Countries for the Post-Socialist Period, Journal of Economic and Social Studies, Volume 1, Number 1, 111-122.

Porter, M. E. (2004). Competitive Advantage, Free Press, New York.

Porter, M. E. (2009). The Competitive Advantage of Nations, States, and Regions, Harvard Business School, Advanced Management Program.

OECD, (2007). Competitive Regional Clusters: National Policy Approaches, (http://www.oecd.org/document/2/0,3746,en_2649_33735_38174082_1_1_1_1_00.html), (22.04.2012).

Singh, A., (1999). Competition Policy, development and developing Countries, Indian Council for research on international economic relations, New Delhi.

Vietor, R.H.K. (2006). Strategy, Structure, and Government in the Global Economy, Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Massachusetts.

World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report, (2008-2009).

World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report, (2009-2010).

World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report, (2010-2011).

World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report, (2011-2012).